VILLAGE OF BUCHANAN BOARD MEETINGS

PLANNING BOARD MEETING

Municipal Building

236 Tate Avenue

Buchanan, NY 10511

October 23, 2025

7:05 p.m. - 8:40 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Nicolas Zachary, Chairman

Eileen Absenger, Member

Tracey Armisto, Member

Jeffrey Faiella, Member

Kieran Outhouse, Member

ALSO PRESENT:

Marcus Serrano, Village Administrator

Stephanie Porteus, Village Attorney

George Pommer, Village Engineer

David Smith, Village Planner

Cynthia Kempter, Village Clerk, Treasurer

Dominic Evangelista, Deputy Treasurer

Peter Cook, Building Inspector

SPEAKERS:

Jim Annicchiarico, Cronin Engineering

Marco Mandra, Architect

Bernard Calabro, Gallon Measure

Henry Gallagher

2.3

(The board meeting commenced at 7:05 p.m.)

MR. NICOLAS ZACHARY: Okay. Thank you for your patience everyone. We will get started. If we could all have the pledge of allegiance to start.

MULTIPLE: I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

MR. ZACHARY: First item is the minutes from the September 25th meeting. Does anybody on the board have any comments, corrections on those minutes, the verbatim minutes. I want to say that I'm a little disturbed by these verbatim minutes. Not because every time, you know, you pause and do what I just did now, which sounds normal when you're talking, but when you see it in print, it's like a whole lot of hyphens and it makes me sound really illiterate.

But that's not the issue. The issue is that when people speak, it identifies them as UM and UF, which it took me a minute to figure out.

2.3

That means unidentified male and unidentified female. So in the last meeting the, I was identified by name. Somehow this computer that records the minutes knows me. They also seem to know Jim. Everybody else was was UM and UF unidentified male unidentified female. So in terms of looking back at the minutes I think you're supposed to know who's speaking and who says what. So I think that's an issue with those verbatim minutes. That said, a motion to approve the verbatim minutes as recorded.

MS. TRACEY ARMISTO: Motion to approve.

MR. KIERAN OUTHOUSE: Second.

MR. ZACHARY: All in favor?

MULTIPLE: Aye.

MR. ZACHARY: Okay. Our first item under old business is calendar PB3-2025, which is, it says here continuation of public hearing for a site plan application for 3229 Albany Post Road. It's actually not a continuation of the public hearing because we closed the public hearing at the last meeting. So we are here now having had a chance to review the resolution for this property

1	October	23	, 2025
---	---------	----	--------

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

to vote on the, on that resolution. And I will just start with a couple of questions I had on this and just a couple of things in the wording. On page two, the first at the top of the first whereas, the applicant sought and received zoning board of appeals approval on 2/12/25 for a side yard variance, variance from height of fence and variance from lot coverage, was there not also a variance for the rear property line of -- we're good? Was there not also a variance? I want to see how the verbatim minutes puts that down. unidentified male or female beep, beep, beep, beep. Okay. The rear variance, where they put up that metal building, was that, is that one of the -- is that?

MR. JIM ANNICCHIARICO: That's, that was actually a side yard variance.

> MR. ZACHARY: That's --

MR. SERRANO: That's side yard, yeah.

MR. ZACHARY: -- side yard?

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yes.

MR. ZACHARY: Okay. The property, the

part of the property facing the Con Ed, I mean

1	October 23, 2025
2	the Conrail lot is not rear?
3	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: That, it's not rear
4	because I guess the property kind of goes like
5	this and the lot line
6	MR. ZACHARY: Oh, because of the weird
7	angle?
8	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: behind the metal
9	building
10	MR. ZACHARY: Okay.
11	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: is considered the
12	rear.
13	MR. ZACHARY: All right. So then when,
14	so the side yard variance is referring to that.
15	Okay. Let's see. Page three, under comments at
16	the top
17	MR. DAVID SMITH: I'm sorry, Mr.
18	Chairman, just before you get to that, at the
19	very bottom of the page, there's a reference to
20	the public hearing being closed. It was opened on
21	8/28/25.
22	MR. ZACHARY: On the very bottom of the
23	first
24	MR. SMITH: On page two.
	II

1	October 23, 2025
2	MR. ZACHARY: Yeah. So, could you just
3	confirm the date that the public hearing was
4	closed?
5	MR. ZACHARY: That was our last meeting.
6	MR. JEFFERY FAIELLA: Last month.
7	MR. ZACHARY: So that was 9/20, the
8	minutes 9/25.
9	MR. SMITH: Thank you.
10	MR. ZACHARY: Oh right. Thank you. The,
11	on page three, the, at the top where the list of
12	agencies or people that we got, that we
13	requested, received and got comments from, did we
14	also should we also include the fire
15	department? Was that, was the fire department
16	contact, you know. one of the
17	MR. SMITH: Yes.
18	MR. SERRANO: Yes.
19	MR. ZACHARY: So that that should be
20	MR. SMITH: We can add we can add that
21	in.
22	MR. ZACHARY: Add that in. And then just
23	to let, just to be clear because there were a
24	couple of conditions we left off with last time

1	October 23, 2025
2	that and one was that this is pending getting
3	the wetlands permit from the DEC. Is that
4	incorporated here in any way or is that just
5	being dealt with through the building department?
6	What is the right? That was one of the
7	conditions that was not
8	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: What we had, we had
9	amended the application to include a wetland
10	permit.
11	MR. ZACHARY: Right. And then, but I
12	don't did we need DEC approval? No, I don't
13	think we do.
14	MR. POMMER: I don't think so, either.
15	MR. ZACHARY: So we're not waiting on
16	anything
17	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: It would just be
18	local.
19	MR. ZACHARY: for that?
20	MR. SMITH: It would just be local,
21	yeah.
22	MR. ZACHARY: Okay, so local meaning
23	through our building department?
24	MR. SMITH: Yes.

	Page
1	October 23, 2025
2	MR. ZACHARY: Okay. And the other item
3	was completion of a oil/water separator. Is there
4	is that complete or is the drawing of it is
5	that also in the hands of the building
6	department?
7	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I have to make some
8	minor technical comment that George had commented
9	on.
10	MR. ZACHARY: Okay.
11	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: So I, I have to, in
12	order for you to sign the site plan, I have to
13	make those change, some of those minor changes.
14	MR. ZACHARY: Okay. So this resolution
15	could go ahead, we just need to complete that
16	before I site sign off on the drawing?
17	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yep.
18	MR. ZACHARY: Okay. I think that is it.
19	And we, oh, and we were waiting for we had an
20	email documenting that the two properties were
21	merged, an email from the town. Is that?
22	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yes, yes.
23	MR. ZACHARY: So we're, so there's

nothing then that's that I see that would keep us

24

2.3

from proceeding voting on this. Is that, do you guys all agree we're good to go here? Okay, we're good to go then.

MR. SMITH: Yes.

MR. ZACHARY: Okay.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I just I just have a few minor clarifications, I guess, more than anything, for my client. So, sorry Jim

Annicchiarico, with Cronin Engineering. Page five, number five, just there was no length of time for the post of a maintenance security, when they would get that returned to them, assuming everything was, you know, up to par in that time frame. I think it might be, it may say it later on, but if you look at 16, on page six, it says provision of a landscape maintenance bond to remain in effect for two years. So I don't know if that's the same duration for that as well?

MR. SMITH: You could certainly have it as a similar time frame, unless Peter you have some suggestion based on prior practice?

MR. ZACHARY: Well, those two seem to be pretty much referring to the same thing. So, is

1	October 23, 2025
2	the time frame in number 16 I mean is there a
3	conflict?
4	MR. SMITH: No, number 16 is the
5	landscape maintenance bond. And then number five
6	is a maintenance security, which goes beyond just
7	landscape. It's landscaping, screening, safety
8	devices.
9	MR. ZACHARY: Okay.
10	MR. SMITH: That's typically during
11	construction of the, of the, you know, the
12	construction of the plan and the process itself.
13	So, they should be within, you should have
14	construction finished well within two years?
15	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Right.
16	MR. SMITH: Right. So
17	MR. SERRANO: And that'd be part of CO.
18	MR. SMITH: Yes.
19	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: So would that, would
20	that be, I guess, then that, would that, would it
21	be right to say that that would be returned upon
22	issuance of a CO?
23	MR. SERRANO: That makes that makes
24	sense.

Daga 1
Page 1 October 23, 2025
MR. SMITH: Yes.
MR. ANNICCHIARICO: And the landscaping
bond would stay for two years beyond that.
MR. SMITH: Right.
MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Okay. That's fine.
MR. ZACHARY: So the wording's okay?
MR. SMITH: We could we could clarify in
number five that the bond would be returned upon
the issuance of a C of O.
MR. FAIELLA: Mr. Chairman, where are we
at with the checklist that Mr. Cook had for the
door and all that other stuff that was supposed
to be finished?
MR. ZACHARY: I think that I'll let
him answer that, but I think that last night we
talked about the fire door, that it was
completed?
MR. PETER COOK: The door was almost
completed. We're waiting on a panel for the door
that's on back order. That was on back order, but
everything else on the list has been addressed at
this time.
MR. ZACHARY: Anyone, are you do you

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

2 have other comments Jim?

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Maybe just one more. So on page four, number four. So that's required to post a performance bond. I just want to understand exactly what's going to be included or what needs to be included for that bond. You know, we don't have any, we don't have any improvements within the village or DOT right of way. So, you know, at least in my experience, typically it's for those types of improvements in case something doesn't get completed properly, you know, there's a bond in place to be called to complete it. So are we, I mean I wouldn't think the building construction that we're proposing needs to be included in that bond. So I'm not --I just want to clarify what that referred to.

MR. ZACHARY: I'll defer to the, to the,
I guess to the engineer, since you're mentioned
in this. Is that is that something that we -that you can clarify? I'm not too --

MR. POMMER: So usually that's if you can't finish something. So, but we can, I think that's more to discuss offline as part of your

1 October 23, 2025 2 improvements, the costs, you'll submit the costs and we'll figure out what improvements, if you 3 don't do them, we would want to do, so. 4 5 MR. ANNICCHIARICO: You're obviously not going to finish the building for us. 6 7 MR. POMMER: No, we wouldn't finish the 8 building, but if you get -- so, to that to that 9 end of if you started the building, we wouldn't 10 want to see it sit there. 11 MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Sure. 12 MR. POMMER: That would be something to 13 take that down, so. 14 MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Right. I mean, I get 15 it. Like for an AMS job, something like that, you 16 know, that's really important because you, it's, 17 it happened in my in my town up in Fishkill. 18 There was a, you know, self-storage project that 19 was started. The guy went bankrupt. It's been 20 sitting, you know, in, with a foundation 21 basically and nothing further than that. And it's 22 been a bone of contention in town for years. 2.3 But in this case, you know, they do 24 obviously operate a business here. They're

1	October 23, 2025
2	looking to, you know, do these things to improve
3	their business. So, you know, although anything
4	can happen, you know, I think, you know, it's a
5	good bet that they're going to finish everything
6	that they have to finish, so. Like you said, we
7	could talk about that offline, I guess.
8	MR. POMMER: Yeah.
9	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I have no problem
10	with that as long as
11	MR. ZACHARY: Okay. So, as far as
12	MR. POMMER: Yeah, it is to, it's to
13	prevent blight, you know.
14	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah.
15	MR. POMMER: Something like that.
16	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah.
17	MR. ZACHARY: So that's an
18	administrative thing that that'll be held after.
19	It doesn't affect voting on the site plan
20	approval.
21	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Right.
22	MR. ZACHARY: Okay. Anything else, Jim?
23	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: No, that's it.
24	MR. ZACHARY: Anyone else on the board?

1 October 23, 2025 2 Comments, questions? Okay. So, what the, what we have in front of us, the draft would have a date 3 4 changed on page two as to when we closed the 5 public, the public hearing. On page three, the fire department will be included in the list of 6 7 agencies contacted for comments. A little clarification in the wording on page five, number 8 9 five, regarding the maintenance security bond or 10 fee and otherwise that's it. Okay. Then if there's no other questions, I' I'd like a motion 11 12 from somebody on the board to approve the 13 resolution for the site plan approval for 3229 14 Albany Post Road, Pacific Automotive. You don't 15 have to repeat everything I said, you could say 16 so moved. MS. EILEEN ABSENGER: So moved. So 17 18 moved. 19 MR. ZACHARY: So moved. And a second? 20 MR. OUTHOUSE: Second. MR. ZACHARY: All in favor? 21

MULTIPLE: Aye.

22

2.3

24

Geneva Worldwide, Inc.

228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669. New York, NY 10003

MR. ZACHARY: Okay, it is carried.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Thank you very much.

24

1

MR. ZACHARY: Thank you. Our next item is new business and it is a site plan application for 3106 Albany Post Road, calendar number PB4-2025 for the Gallon Measure property. And just a little background, I think if you guys will just give me a minute, I'm going to -- I just want to give a little background. We did previously have a site plan application that went through, but it largely involved the original building which was a grandfathered building with nonconforming setbacks. But when it had, when it was demolished that made it lose its grandfathered status. So the applicant has put together a new site plan which is more conforming to our zoning actually and it's always a good sub, it's always sort of a sub goal of zoning and planning to bring things into conformity. So in that way we might have a positive aspect to this. And I know the applicant is going be interested in moving things along as quickly as possible because it's been a long process and this is the second time around. So, I certainly feel we can do what we can, but we can only do that while taking care to -- I will, I'll

2.3

take public comments afterwards. I see a hand back there, but if you get, if you -- I will, there will definitely be a period where you can comment if you're in the public. But I would like to start first with my comments, the board's, and the applicant's.

So, I'm going to I'm going to jump ahead a little bit here and point out that there seem to be two main questions here that we have to that we have to look at. And, one of them is regarding the parking. The new site plan is showing the parking on the 9A side of the property, whereas before it was behind it, facing the residential section. And while our overlay zone, which is sort of a little subchapter to the, like a master plan, it says in general to try and avoid parking up front.

The AMS project which is the big housing development behind the hardware store has a parking garage, but they also have some parking up front. But there was care taken to make sure there was sufficient landscape, so it's not in your face so to speak. So we would have to, so

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

this board has to weigh having that parking on 9A, if that's a problem or perhaps it would be more of a problem and a disturbance to the neighborhood to have it on the other side where it was. So, you know, I think there is pros and cons. And we have to look at that, and ask questions about that and look at the site plan.

And the other issue that seems a little contentious coming up right now is the, I always thought it was a tea kettle, but I'm hearing it referred to as an oil can. And the new site plan eliminates that. It is not a legally historic landmark. It's not, doesn't have landmark status. However, it is a sensitive, perhaps a sensitive or emotional issue for some people because it's kind of iconic. And what, what is done with that, if it's eliminated or if they're going to have to find some way to preserve it, is also part of this site plan process here. So those are the two issues that I foresee being going to have the most discussion right now. I have some specific stuff on the site plan, but before I get to those, I will let you present it, Jim.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Sure.

MR. ZACHARY: And let's make sure everybody cites their name, anybody who speaks, because otherwise you're going to be an unidentified male and an unidentified or an unidentified female on the computerized minutes that that we're using right now. So, Jim, state your name and go ahead.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Okay. Jim

Annicchiarico with Cronin Engineering, the,
engineer for the applicants, Bernie and Brian

Calabro. With me is Marco Mandra, the architect
for the project. We were both obviously in
involved in the first go round, if you will. So
maybe I'll just start there real quick. As you
said, it's not our intention to be back here with
a different plan, but circumstances have us here
now, that, you know, when the roof of the
building was removed, it was found that the walls
just were not structurally sound enough to even
build on.

So they came down and as you mentioned, you know, we lost our preexisting nonconforming

October 23, 2025

status, mainly because the building was always over the property line. We had gotten a variance for that, to build straight up from that corner and not go any further out into the street, obviously. And we lost that obviously. And it was determined because of the way the village code is written that we couldn't even build right in that same exact footprint if we wanted to. It just, the code did not allow for that to happen.

That was our preference, by the way, but so be it. We are here now. That building, as you mentioned provided parking to the west, right up against the residential neighbor on Rock Ledge. It also had parking all along the northern property line with the residential neighbors to the north of us. It did have an out in the front of the building onto Rock Ledge. It had a had a driveway, which was going to be egress only, which was based on the New York State DOT's comments, because it was so close to the intersection. They didn't want cars going in and out of there, just out.

Our new site plan has the building

2.3

1	October 23, 2025
2	located to the west, all the way to the west
3	within the zoning setbacks. So, sure, we could do
4	that, if I remember how far it is. Is that that
5	one?
6	MR. ZACHARY: Is this up on the screen
7	there?
8	MR. SERRANO: Yes.
9	MR. ZACHARY: So people in the
10	MR. SERRANO: That's why we got it, so
11	that way everybody can see the same thing.
12	MR. ZACHARY: On both sides of here?
13	MR. SERRANO: Yes. That's why we went to
14	this.
15	MR. ZACHARY: Okay.
16	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah. Can we go to
17	the other one, Marcus first?
18	MR. SERRANO: The other one?
19	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah. First. Yeah.
20	We'll come back to this one. Sorry.
21	MR. ZACHARY: Site plan. Is that what
22	you're looking for?
23	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah.
24	MR. ZACHARY: There you go.

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669. New York, NY 10003

	Page 2
1	October 23, 2025
2	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah, thank you.
3	MR. SERRANO: You can zoom out and zoom
4	in, whatever you want to do.
5	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yep. Okay, so, yeah,
6	there you go. So the building is located to the
7	west, as I mentioned. I'm going to go to the next
8	page which
9	MR. SERRANO: On the bottom right hand
10	side. You see numbers and the door to the
11	right. See the numbers?
12	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: There you go.
13	MR. ZACHARY: So now, can you and zoom
14	on that?
15	MR. SERRANO: Yeah, you can zoom in.
16	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I can zoom in. I'm
17	going to outline the
18	MR. SERRANO: Zoom in first before you
19	outline because then it's not going to match.
20	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Okay. All right. So,
21	this is the zoning setback line. And so the
22	building is totally within the zoning setbacks,
23	doesn't require a variance for setbacks at all.
24	MR. ZACHARY: Can I just interrupt one

1	October 23, 2025
2	second? Just so people know, when you have a
3	corner property, they usually have to determine
4	which is the architectural front, and based on
5	the setback shown here, 9A would be considered
6	the front. So that on Rockledge is considered a
7	side. So it's a 10-foot setback required on
8	Rockledge, a 30-foot setback I believe is it
9	30 on
10	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: It is 30.
11	MR. ZACHARY: on the 9A side.
12	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Well
13	MR. ZACHARY: And on the backyard.
14	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: The rear yard, front
15	yard, and side yard.
16	MR. ZACHARY: Side yards are 10.
17	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Right here, 10.
18	MR. ZACHARY: From the village, from the
19	property line?
20	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah.
21	MR. ZACHARY: Okay.
22	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: So, as I mentioned,
23	we, so we do meet all the setbacks. We have
24	parking in front. We also are compliant with our

1	October 23, 2025
2	coverage, which is 75 percent in this zone. We
3	have the area behind the building, to the west
4	and to the north as grass, as was approved for
5	the first site plan. We've left those plantings
6	pretty much the same as they were approved
7	originally back here. I think we did change some
8	of the trees, so they weren't 70 feet high. We
9	did do that. We have landscaping in this area
10	right here along Rockledge, landscaping here, we
11	have some shrubs here, some other shrub for
12	which outline?
13	MR. ZACHARY: The yellow is hard to see.
14	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Okay. Black? Red?
15	Those are red.
16	MR. ZACHARY: Red. Or brighter yellow
17	maybe. That's
18	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I don't think I have
19	that option.
20	MR. SERRANO: Or a pen, get the
21	highlighter, take a pen, the dark, the magic
22	marker.
23	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: This one?
24	MR. SERRANO: No, to your right.

	\mathbb{P}_{2}
1	Page 20 October 23, 2025
2	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: This?
3	MR. SERRANO: No, keep on going.
4	[unintelligible] [00:25:42].
5	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: This?
6	MR. SERRANO: So it's nice and thick.
7	Now you're just taking [unintelligible]
8	[00:25:49].
9	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Red. How's that?
10	All right, so
11	MR. SERRANO: Can you see that better
12	now?
13	MS. ARMISTO: Yes. [unintelligible]
14	[00:25:56].
15	MR. ZACHARY: That's okay. It's okay.
16	If you spoke up and said that, other people
17	probably felt the same.
18	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: So, we have
19	plantings in those areas. Plantings in, all along
20	the front.
21	MR. ZACHARY: Plantings as in shrubbery?
22	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: And again, these
23	were, a lot of these were the same for the
24	previous site plan. So

1	October 23, 2025
2	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Is that along the
3	sidewalk?
4	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Excuse me?
5	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Is that alongside
6	the sidewalk?
7	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yes. Yes, sir.
8	There's
9	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: On both
10	[unintelligible] [00:26:33].
11	MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman.
12	MR. ZACHARY: That's correct.
13	MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman, again, you're
14	going to run into this issue with the minutes.
15	You have an unidentified male who's speaking.
16	MR. ZACHARY: Yes. Thank you.
17	MR. SMITH: So, I don't you want may
18	want to hold until you have
19	MR. ZACHARY: Okay. So when we give you
20	a chance to come up, we'll get your name so
21	you're not UM on the minutes. Thank you. Thank
22	you, Dave.
23	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: So sidewalk remains
24	the same as was originally approved. We've

1	October 23, 2025
2	actually, this is our 24-foot wide curb cut that
3	where you would get into the front of the
4	building and the parking area. We've moved that,
5	I don't know exactly how far, but we've moved it
6	probably at least 24 feet to the west
7	MR. ZACHARY: In.
8	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: to the west, yes.
9	MR. ZACHARY: Yes.
10	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: We also
11	MR. ZACHARY: And then there's another
12	driveway at the back there?
13	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yes, this is the
14	existing, this is the existing curb cut. I don't
15	know if everybody recalls, but this curb was
16	installed all the way up to here. The village
17	installed that curb. So there is a drop curb here
18	and, you know, we're proposing to maintain this
19	existing curb cut.
20	MR. ZACHARY: So cars can pull in there?
21	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: It really probably
22	less for cars, it's more for people to come in
23	MR. ZACHARY: To walk into the office.
24	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: The office is

	Daga ')
1	October 23, 2025
2	located here and it's probably more for that.
3	MR. ZACHARY: If people see a curb cut
4	they're going to pull in. Is there room for a
5	car? If somebody pulled
6	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: You could pull
7	MR. ZACHARY: pulls up and runs into
8	the office, there's room?
9	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: You certainly could,
10	yes. Absolutely. Absolutely.
11	MR. ZACHARY: Even if it's not a
12	designated parking spot, I'm sure people are
13	going to pull up there.
14	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yep.
15	MS. ARMISTO: There's gates on that,
16	too.
17	MR. ZACHARY: Is there a gate on that,
18	at that point?
19	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: The old site plan
20	showed this fence here.
21	MR. ZACHARY: Right.
22	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: And this fence here.
23	I think some of the comments that were made maybe
24	by George and by David talked about, you know,

1	October 23, 2025
2	maybe taking those out. So it's a little cleaner
3	and, you know, you don't see necessarily a fence
4	and we don't have a problem with that.
5	MR. ZACHARY: You're not, yeah, you're
6	not trying to gate in the whole property, fence
7	in the whole property?
8	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: No. We actually have
9	no other gates anywhere else.
10	MR. ZACHARY: Right. Right.
11	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: They were just kind
12	of left over from the original one.
13	MR. ZACHARY: Okay. Pointless.
14	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I kind of rushed
15	myself a little to get this in. But
16	MR. ZACHARY: Yeah.
17	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: so that's an item
18	that can easily be taken out.
19	MR. ZACHARY: Okay.
20	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: In fact, we even
21	think, we talked about taking these walls that
22	were going to be moved back. This is a very small
23	wall, like 18 inches high. It's more decorative
24	than anything. And

1	October 23, 2025
2	MR. ZACHARY: Where's that wall?
3	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: It's right here.
4	MR. ZACHARY: The front of the
5	plantings.
6	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: In front of these
7	plantings.
8	MR. ZACHARY: So, it's like a raised
9	bed?
10	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: It is.
11	MR. ZACHARY: Okay.
12	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Correct. And it
13	needed to, in the previous site plan application,
14	it needed to be moved back slightly because we
15	were going to provide a four-foot wide grass
16	strip in front of them in case the village ever
17	wanted to bring the sidewalk through further. We
18	would be ending the sidewalk right here, as we
19	were with the previous application, so
20	MR. ZACHARY: Well, just, so there's not
21	going to be a sidewalk to the property line? And
22	there's no sidewalk further up on Rockledge, so
23	it would just sort of be a sidewalk to nowhere?
0.4	

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: It would be.

24

2.3

MR. ZACHARY: But what about a sidewalk between your driveway and the office or they would go around the other side?

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah, they most people are going to come in here and walk over to the office, most likely, park in a spot, walk over to the office. So, you know, we didn't we didn't propose that. It's, we left that the same as it was originally, but we do, we have no problem taking out the decorative retaining walls. In fact, it would probably be better as we just have one, you know, one elevation here --

MR. ZACHARY: Okay.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: -- with some plantings. We do have five mechanic bays inside the, inside the building itself. We have 15 parking spaces here. Code required 14. I basically was just able to show 15, so I did.

MR. ZACHARY: Okay.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: However --

MR. ZACHARY: Well, we'll get to that.

Our planner made a suggestion that I liked. I'll

go over that later.

24

1

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Okay. No problem. We have a parts supply room back here, which is off the back of the building. Still, like I said, within the zoning setbacks. And, you know, all the bells and whistles. Oil/water separator like we were going to have. We do have shown here the dumpster, you know, the dumpsters for trash and recyclables. There were some comments made about that. We can talk about that. Drainage, you know, same form via Cultec as we had proposed on the first go around. It will probably be a few more this go around, but we do have that here in the parking lot with an overflow like we were going to -- well, we were going to have an overflow to the village system over there. We'll have it over here now instead.

MR. SERRANO: Can you mark it up so the public can know where you're talking about?

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Sure. This is the drainage, underground drainage. That'd be the overflow to the existing catch basin. We'd have a trench drain here. Capture any water so it doesn't make its way out onto Rockledge. That

1	October 23, 2025
2	would be directed to there. These are the roof
3	leaders for the entire building going into the
4	Cultec.
5	MR. ZACHARY: And the paved area would
6	be would be slightly sloped towards that center?
7	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah, it's natural.
8	It's kind of almost naturally sloped anyway.
9	MR. ZACHARY: Okay.
10	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: We've got elevation
11	of 106 up here and it's probably down to about
12	103 down here
13	MR. ZACHARY: Okay.
14	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: 105 up here. So,
15	what else is there? Like I said, green space all
16	back here to the north. And we'd have a new sewer
17	service here, a new water service here. There'll
18	be a bathroom, two, probably two bathrooms, one
19	for the office and one for the mechanics that'll
20	be accessed from inside the mechanics building.
21	MR. SERRANO: Gas, gas connection?
22	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yes.
23	MR. SERRANO: Where?
24	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Actually Bernie, is

1	October 23, 2025
2	there gas, are we having gas? No, is it just
3	MR. BERNIE CALABRO: [unintelligible]
4	[00:33:37].
5	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: it's, right now,
6	all the services are in this. So, we'd probably
7	just pick up the old service and bring it into
8	the building as we will, electrical will probably
9	come in here. So, they'll probably come into that
10	corner.
11	MR. SERRANO: [unintelligible]
12	[00:33:55].
13	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah. I mean, it's
14	kind of it really is up to Con Edison. But
15	this is where they are right now. Okay.
16	MR. ZACHARY: Okay. I have a few things
17	I would if you're
18	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Sure.
19	MR. ZACHARY: but I'll let you finish
20	if you have more.
21	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I think that's about
22	it.
23	MR. ZACHARY: Okay. Can you mark where
24	the bay door where the bay doors going into the

1	October 23, 2025
2	work area are?
3	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Oh, sure. Sorry.
4	MR. ZACHARY: If you could highlight
5	that.
6	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: So, we have a 20
7	foot wide door right here in the center, centered
8	on the building.
9	MR. ZACHARY: Okay.
10	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: And 16 foot high. We
11	have a second door here which is 12 foot wide, 16
12	foot high.
13	MR. ZACHARY: Both could be used for
14	vehicles?
15	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Correct.
16	MR. ZACHARY: Yeah, so, I don't
17	understand the angling of the, of the bays and
18	like if you had a car in front, you wouldn't be
19	able to get into the back? So, you, you have to
20	sort of plan your work? I mean is
21	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Well
22	MR. ZACHARY: the way I see it, is
23	that true?
24	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: It's maybe a little

October 23, 2025
bit misleading. This, so this is just a work
area. These areas around here, it's not really
the size of the car. So the car would be these
are the lifts.
MR. ZACHARY: Have you, yes. Yeah, okay.
MR. ANNICCHIARICO: And your car would
be, you know, here.
MR. ZACHARY: Okay.
MR. ANNICCHIARICO: So you'd be able to
drive in. They'd be able to pull it in, lift the
car. You'd be able to drive in here. The same do
the same for each vehicle.
MR. ZACHARY: Okay. Then there's a,
seems to be a fifth bay in the back, right?
MR. CALABRO: You'd be able to do the
same here.
MR. ZACHARY: Did you want to add to
this to that?
MR. CALABRO: No, I think
[unintelligible] [00:35:28].
MR. ZACHARY: And state your name since
you'll now be an unidentified male.
MR. CALABRO: Bernie Calabro from Gallon

1	Page 38 October 23, 2025
2	Measure.
3	MR. ZACHARY: Okay. So, so, so there's
4	no problem with those dotted lines are not the
5	vehicle sizes?
6	MR. CALABRO: Correct.
7	MR. ZACHARY: So, you could be working
8	in the front and still get a vehicle in the back?
9	MR. CALABRO: Absolutely, yes.
10	MR. ZACHARY: Got you, okay. That was
11	one question I had.
12	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I guess while we're
13	on the subject of doors, we have a, you know,
14	there's a man door in this corner. The man door
15	to the office is right here.
16	MR. ZACHARY: Okay.
17	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: And that's it.
18	MR. ZACHARY: Okay.
19	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: And supply room
20	would be accessed from inside right there.
21	MR. ZACHARY: So now in the front there
22	where you have two parking spots?
23	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Right here?
24	MR. ZACHARY: Right there.

1	October 23, 2025
2	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah.
3	MR. ZACHARY: It occurs to me that those
4	would be easier to pull in and out of if you
5	angle them slightly more parallel with the
6	building front rather than perpendicular to the
7	street. Not that much, but yes
8	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Something like that?
9	MR. ZACHARY: I think if you, I'm just
10	saying
11	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah.
12	MR. ZACHARY: I mean that's not a
13	like if you're swinging around into those, would
14	that be easier than?
15	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yes.
16	MR. ZACHARY: There's plenty of
17	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Or you could back,
18	certainly back into them. But
19	MR. ZACHARY: yeah, okay just
20	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: today as I was
21	reading some of the comments specific to your
22	question
23	MR. ZACHARY: Thank you.
24	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: specifically the

October 23, 2025

2.3

comment by Chief Outhouse, the fire chief he mentioned trying to eliminate these maybe. So he had he said this was a little tight, he thought. But there's really no way we can change this. So he was wondering if one of these spaces could get lost, which technically it could because we do show 15 and we're required to have 14.

MR. ZACHARY: Okay.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: My thought was, well after I thought about it, I don't know, I just had an epiphany I guess today. I said, well, I don't know if the code -- in my opinion, the code contemplates the area of your building and your work area. That, that's one of the factors that calculates how many spaces you need. So, I would say that these are five spaces and maybe we only need nine outside in my opinion. We'd probably, you know, we'd obviously still be able to provide more, just because we would want more and we have the room for it, but that would allow us to probably lose these two spaces.

MR. ZACHARY: Well, how many how many spaces are we required to have here?

1	Page 4. October 23, 2025
2	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Fourteen.
3	MR. ZACHARY: Fourteen? But that the
4	five inside could possibly count as?
5	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I did not count them
6	when I submitted my plan.
7	MR. ZACHARY: I'm not sure how that
8	works. Do you, Kieran?
9	MR. OUTHOUSE: I don't see why it
10	wouldn't.
11	MR. ZACHARY: Okay.
12	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I mean they're,
13	they're, you know, if a car is there overnight
14	MR. ZACHARY: Okay.
15	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: being worked on,
16	it could certainly
17	MR. ZACHARY: Where I just suggested
18	angling those spots. What if you just had two
19	spots parallel parking?
20	MR. SMITH: Do you have the length?
21	MR. ZACHARY: Do you have the length
22	there to put two spots?
23	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: You mean like this?
24	MR. ZACHARY: Yep.

1 October 23, 2025 2 MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Well, I mean I think the whole, I guess when I was thinking about this 3 4 issue of these parking spaces, it was because the fire chief wanted to be able to swing around 5 there, but he can't get through there anyway. 6 7 MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Either that or just 8 get a, you know, 9 MR. ZACHARY: Okay. All right. So, we 10 could look at possibly eliminating those two 11 spots. 12 MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Right. 13 MR. ZACHARY: Okay. We could look at 14 that. Let's see. Where is your lighting along the 15 park for the, for the parking lot, outside 16 lighting? 17 MR. ANNICCHIARICO: So yeah, we would 18 have a light above the main door. We'd probably 19 have a light above this door and we'd probably 20 have a light above the, the door --21 MR. ZACHARY: Any lighting --MR. ANNICCHIARICO: -- and here as well.

> Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669. New York, NY 10003

MR. ZACHARY: Any lighting in the

22

2.3

24

parking area?

2.3

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: We didn't propose any. We kind of thought that, you know, it really didn't need it. Kind of street lights street lights out and --

MR. ZACHARY: Street lights.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Albany Post Road and whatnot. I think there are some on Rockledge as well. So, sure, if we had to add him, we --

MR. ZACHARY: Was there, Dave, was it in your comments something about lighting? Did I see that?

MR. SMITH: Yes, we made a suggestion that if there's going to be street lighting along Albany Post Road, we could consider --

MR. ZACHARY: Dave, if you could give your full name and who you are for the, for the verbatim.

MR. SMITH: So I'm not an unidentified male. Thank you. Dave Smith, planning consultant to the village of Buchanan. So the, one of the comments that we had raised was given that you have other developments along Albany Post Road, new developments that are, that have new street

2.3

or have street fixtures or lighting along Albany
Post Road, that if it makes sense here that if
lighting is needed that you include something
that's more decorative as part of the street
frontage, and consistent with what the Village
has been seeing with both Village Square and the
AMS project

MR. ZACHARY: And along the front of their properties?

MR. SMITH: Correct. Yes.

MR. ZACHARY: Okay. All right. So that that's aesthetic is, is one of it, one thing. But also if it's in the winter when it's dark and you have that parking lot, is there sufficient lighting for the people that are getting their cars or for possibly for security purposes in the evening?

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Well, I mean as mentioned we would have the lighting on the building itself. They would be downward facing obviously because that's typically what everybody wants.

MR. ZACHARY: Okay.

2.3

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: So we're not, you know, bleeding light onto other properties. I'll let Marco you know show you the lights that we have for the building.

MR. ZACHARY: Okay.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: We've got a, you know, we've got a spec for that. If the board, you know, thinks it's necessary, I mean, I'll, we'll take a look and see what the lighting situation is now currently. And if everybody thinks it's necessary, then it wouldn't be a difficult thing for us to add.

MR. ZACHARY: Yeah. Do we know where the streetlights are?

MR. SERRANO: They're not on that side.

There's only one -- I'm sorry. Marcus Serrano,

village administrator, for the record. There's

one cobra head on the opposite side, there's no

other lights in that area. So when Village Square

gets put in, that's why I was on when Village

Square puts in, they'll have decorative lighting,

but I think there's one cobra head on the

opposite side of the road.

1	October 23, 2025
2	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Okay.
3	MR. ZACHARY: Okay.
4	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I can I believe
5	there is a streetlight right there.
6	MR. SERRANO: But not on Albany Post
7	Road.
8	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I'm just saying
9	right here.
10	MR. SERRANO: Yes.
11	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: The other side?
12	MR. SERRANO: Yeah.
13	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Oh, that one there.
14	Okay. So there is a, there is a utility pole
15	right here that obviously is staying. It's Con
16	Ed's pole and I believe that has a that has a
17	streetlight on it.
18	MR. SERRANO: Yeah, I was addressing the
19	Albany Post Road.
20	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Where's that? It's
21	right about here.
22	MR. ZACHARY: There is a oh, there's
23	a streetlight there?
24	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: On Rockledge.

1	October 23, 2025
2	MR. ZACHARY: All right.
3	MR. SERRANO: A cobra head.
4	MR. ZACHARY: All right. So we can let
5	people comment on that and we could look at that
6	a little further. And the, there was a suggestion
7	from the village planner, being that you had more
8	spots than you needed of a way to enlarge the
9	landscaped area along nine, 9A rather. And do you
10	feel like going up there and using his
11	highlighter to show that.
12	MR. SMITH: I think Jim can do just a
13	fine job.
14	MR. ZACHARY: He can do that?
14 15	MR. ZACHARY: He can do that? MR. SMITH: Yeah, Yeah, my days working
15	MR. SMITH: Yeah, Yeah, my days working
15 16	MR. SMITH: Yeah, Yeah, my days working an etch-a-sketch right along, so
15 16 17	MR. SMITH: Yeah, Yeah, my days working an etch-a-sketch right along, so MR. ZACHARY: So, have you already
15 16 17 18	MR. SMITH: Yeah, Yeah, my days working an etch-a-sketch right along, so MR. ZACHARY: So, have you already discussed this or no?
15 16 17 18 19	MR. SMITH: Yeah, Yeah, my days working an etch-a-sketch right along, so MR. ZACHARY: So, have you already discussed this or no? MR. SMITH: No, we haven't. But I think
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SMITH: Yeah, Yeah, my days working an etch-a-sketch right along, so MR. ZACHARY: So, have you already discussed this or no? MR. SMITH: No, we haven't. But I think Jim understands the concept.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SMITH: Yeah, Yeah, my days working an etch-a-sketch right along, so MR. ZACHARY: So, have you already discussed this or no? MR. SMITH: No, we haven't. But I think Jim understands the concept. MR. ZACHARY: Okay.

1 October 23, 2025 2 could pick up six, seven, maybe eight feet. MR. ZACHARY: Whatever the width of a 3 4 spot is there. 5 MR. SMITH: Right. And I think that, again that's one of the considerations for your 6 7 board of how to treat the Albany Post Road 8 frontage. 9 MR. ZACHARY: Yeah. 10 MR. SMITH: What are you going to have, 11 where the building is located or whether you have 12 parking located there. 13 MR. ZACHARY: Right. Right. I think if 14 we're going to go with parking on that side of 15 the building, I think I would be very much in 16 favor of widening that landscape area in the front on the 9A side by eliminating that spot and 17 18 just moving the other one, two, three, four, five spots forward. 19 20 MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I think if we can 21 consider these five spaces inside the building, 22 you know, I think I think that's doable. 2.3 MR. ZACHARY: And these are spots that 24 you could eliminate that and probably the other

	Daga //
1	October 23, 2025
2	two as well.
3	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: So move that one and
4	move these [unintelligible] [00:44:50].
5	MR. ZACHARY: Yeah.
6	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I mean, right now
7	this is probably nine feet wide.
8	MR. SMITH: No.
9	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Eight, nine feet,
10	yeah.
11	MR. ZACHARY: Right.
12	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I don't think it
13	needs to be any more than 9 feet.
14	MR. SMITH: I would want to have that
15	clarified because just looking at the parking
16	spaces that you're showing there are how wide?
17	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: These are nine.
18	MR. SMITH: That's 9 feet.
19	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: It's probably eight
20	feet.
21	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah, that's plenty.
22	MR. SMITH: Well, that's for the
23	planning board to determine.
24	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Well, the other

2.3

October 23, 2025

issue is that there's utilities under there. So, that's really limiting your, what you can plant. You can only plant shrubs on top of a water -- you got sewer and you got this is the drainage. This is the utility easement. So, you know, we could --

MR. ZACHARY: Okay. Okay. So --

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: -- certainly move that, you know, right up to the other spot.

MR. ZACHARY: Okay. Okay. So, it might be some issues. I mean, I was, when I say landscaping, I'm not talking about redwoods, you know.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Sure. Yeah. We don't want to plant [unintelligible] [00:45:59]. So, you know, you got a drain pipe in here, you got a sewer pipe going that way. You know, that's where they are. So, they're more towards the Albany Post Road property line than they are towards the easement line, but we could certainly, you know, we gain another probably three feet by moving it right up to the, about to the easement line.

MR. ZACHARY: Yeah. Yeah. Okay. Well,

2.3

that's something we could we could look at.

MR. SERRANO: Can I make a comment real quick? Marcus Serrano for the record. And if you put the grass area over the easement, it'll be easier also to access any of the, instead of dig up black top in the future, too, in case you ever needed access. So, it might be a good idea to put it in the grass area. Just a suggestion.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah. And I think that'll do it. Yeah. If we went it right up to the easement.

MR. ZACHARY: That's a good point. And, you know, a little more than eight feet also enables you to stagger plantings and create a more interesting buffer. Not like you're looking to put tall things or big things, you know, giant trees or anything. Okay. Okay. So, did somebody else on your team there want to --

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah, I can hand it over to Marco and he can kind of go through the rendering of the building and materials and things like that.

MR. ZACHARY: Okay. So, before that, we

	Page 52
1	October 23, 2025
2	have a question.
3	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: It's on the other
4	plan. We got to stop sharing?
5	MS. ABSENGER: Oh, wait. Don't, don't
6	get rid of it yet.
7	MR. ZACHARY: Before, we're going to
8	have a board member has a question before we
9	switch.
10	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Sure.
11	MS. ABSENGER: Eileen Absenger. Where is
12	the hazardous material and the sign for the fire
13	department located?
14	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: That would be in the
15	room itself.
16	MS. ABSENGER: In which room?
17	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Or outside the room.
18	MS. ABSENGER: Outside what room?
19	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: The room in the
20	back.
21	MS. ABSENGER: The parts room.
22	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: The parts room
23	because that's where we would have our waste,
24	oil, acetylene.

MS. ABSENGER: Okay.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: So, there'll be a sign on the door out, you know, into that room for the fire department. And as they requested, like with Pacific Auto, same thing.

MS. ABSENGER: Right.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: You know, they also just wanted us to call out on the site plan where it was so, so they know where it is ahead of time.

MR. MARCO MANGRA: Hello everyone. Marco Mangra, architect. So you obviously all know the circumstances that we're under. So we've taken very careful consideration on the new design, both for the cost of the building, as well as how fast this can be executed. So our approach is to propose a pre-fabricated metal building which is something that could be done rather quickly than your, you know, traditional stick build or masonry building. It's approximately 60 x 62 width and length. And obviously we want to take some consideration into the residential area. So, we have some materials. We're proposing stone on

2.3

the bottom here. I'm just going to draw here. So, all along the bottom, four feet of the building would be stone all the way wrapping around. And then above that, all of this material would be a metal cladding.

We have our gooseneck sconces here, here, here, and should be, yeah, there's one here, it's just not showing properly. This is to light up the entrances, light up the garage doors. We have our Gallon Measure sign up above. And then we've also included some large windows, both to break up the facade and also to bring some natural lighting within the building.

So I can bring it to the elevations
here. This was another option, but we don't want
to talk about that. That one had stucco, so we
don't want to we don't want to do stucco. So this
is the front elevation. You can see the three
sconces here, the one by the entrance for the
office. Stone on the bottom again, all the way
wrapping around.

This is your entrance into the garage.

This is the back of the building, really not much

2.3

going on here. No windows. This is one window which is into the bathroom. And then the two opposite, what is this, north and south elevations. You see lots of windows here. This is facing --

MR. SERRANO: Is north up top?

MR. MANGRA: Yeah, this is north. Yeah, facing the residents, and then this is facing Rockledge. So, lots of windows, lots of natural light within the building. It also helps to break up the industrial look of the building and that's pretty much it. Bernie, you want to add anything for? Yeah, I mean, just you're going to have, you know, a capping on top of the stone. We do have some images, I don't know if you want to pass these around. This is the goose neck sconce.

MR. ZACHARY: You could, let's just get ones for the record.

MR. MANGRA And then this is actually this back for the stone. Yeah. So, this is this is the color of the stone that we like. It's called Fitzwilliam by Delgado Stone, but it would be in a different pattern. It would be more of a

2.3

ledger stone. This is more of a square and rectangle pattern but we, this is essentially the color that we're looking into.

MR. ZACHARY: Can I just, just to get some clarification for the public and for the other board members here, we had a meeting and we talked about the idea of doing a metal building, but we do have the design guidelines for this area. And in that meeting we said that there's a lot of -- that something I did not know is that metal buildings can be, have siding or different materials applied.

So I understand the stone but above that you said it's is it just the metal building or is there some applied siding? Because generally in the area we're looking at looking at things that kind of conform to the design guidelines and there's, you know, it's more lighter earth colors which that may be, it's a little hard to tell from that illustration. But can you clarify what is above the stone? Is that just the metal building?

MR. MANGRA: Yeah. So, it it's the metal

	Daga b'
1	October 23, 2025
2	building, but it has a board and batten
3	aesthetic.
4	MR. ZACHARY: Is there some place we
5	could see what this looks like?
6	MR. MANGRA: Their website?
7	MR. ZACHARY: Because, you know,
8	renderings are hard to interpret.
9	MR. MANGRA: Yeah. I mean, I think the
10	company that fabricates them, their website has a
11	lot of different illustrations of built
12	structures.
13	MR. ZACHARY: Any local building that we
14	could see that
15	MR. MANGRA: I'm not aware.
16	MR. ZACHARY: Maybe that, maybe the
17	company that you're dealing with could recommend
18	a place where we could see this because I think
19	this is very hard to interpret for most people.
20	If you're familiar with it and have seen it a
21	hundred times maybe you, you know, that's another
22	thing. But I don't think we have.
23	MR. MANGRA: I'm not sure where we could
24	find an actual building but their website does

1	October 23, 2025
2	have photos of built structures on their
3	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I was I was driving
4	down 9W up in, I don't know, probably like
5	Monroe, that area last night and I was going a
6	little too fast to get, to stop and get a
7	picture. But a building caught my eye because in
8	fact that whole stretch through down 9W going
9	south, north and south, there's metal buildings
10	everywhere. They're, they're everywhere and I was
11	looking at every single one of them. And I came
12	across one where that looked I mean it caught my
13	eye because it looks so nice. So I think I could
14	drive by there and
15	MR. ZACHARY: One that you think would
16	be similar to what you're planning on doing here?
17	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah.
18	MR. ZACHARY: Is that an area you drive
19	through regularly?
20	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: No, but I'll go
21	there.
22	MR. ZACHARY: Could you get some photos
23	and forward them to us?
24	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Sure.

2.3

MR. ZACHARY: All right. Right, Bernie, we talked at that -- when we met, we talked about different possibilities and you mentioned something about the building that's just south of the hardware store. Is that, you know, there's the residential, commercial residential building just south of the hardware store on 9A?

MR. CALABRO: We talked about that.

MR. ZACHARY: And was that is that what this is? If we go look at that, that's not the same?

MR. CALABRO: I don't know exactly.

MR. ZACHARY: Okay.

MR. CALABRO: You were talking about like finishing more when we talked.

MR. ZACHARY: Well, I don't know. We were talking about that you could apply all sorts of different siding and different things over the metal and you know, I don't, you know, we'll let everybody weigh in. But I think the design guidelines for that area do not also include metal buildings. So, so we were looking if you're going to do a metal building to, to dress it up.

October 23, 2025

3

1

MR. CALABRO: Sure.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

MR. ZACHARY: So, I think we're going to need to see a little more on this and maybe get those photos, samples or something that the board can actually look at because it's very hard to interpret what that's going to look like just looking at that. Generally, we'll, you know, if you look at the design guidelines for the overlay zone, we're steering towards some of the, you know, more lighter and earth tones and, classic siding, stone combinations with siding. You know, I think the, do you have, have you seen those

15

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yes.

16

MR. ZACHARY: You have them. Okay. So --

17

MS. ARMISTO: I have a question. These

18

are the buildings like they're making the

19

barndominiums out of, right?

design guidelines?

20

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah, similar, yes.

21

MS. ARMISTO: These metal buildings. You

22

can't tell the difference. It looks like you

would be shocked at what they look like.

2324

MR. ZACHARY: Well, I might be shocked.

1	October 23, 2025
2	I'd be happy to be shocked. Tell me where to go
3	so I can look at it and be shocked.
4	MS. ABSENGER: What did you call them?
5	MS. ARMISTO: They're barndominiums.
6	MR. ZACHARY: Barndominiums?
7	MS. ARMISTO: People are building.
8	They're like big giant buildings downstairs, big
9	garages, and living space up top.
10	MR. ZACHARY: Barndominiums. That's a
11	new one on me. It's not a four-letter word,
12	though. Okay. Do you know where there's a
13	barndominium I can, that we could go look at? I
14	mean, I don't know. How do you know about
15	barndominiums?
16	MS. ARMISTO: I know one.
17	MR. ZACHARY: Okay. I need a need little
18	help from the applicant or any board members that
19	are familiar with something to steer us to things
20	that we could actually go look at or bring us
21	samples. You know, because I think I'm
22	comfortable saying for myself and probably for
23	other people on the board that is a little hard

to interpret.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

1 2 MS. ABSENGER: It is it is hard to interpret. On a website, do they have color 3 4 variations? Do they, you know, they're showing 5 you different types of buildings, but do they give you different colors? Do they give you all 6 7 of this? 8 MR. ZACHARY: Okay. So, can we, can you forward that website to us? 9 10

MR. CALABRO: They sent me some photos of our color combination that we were looking for. Obviously, this isn't what we're propos proposing, but you might be able to see a little bit better if I hand you this photo, if you want to take a look at it. So, you can see the colors that we chose.

MR. ZACHARY: Yeah. There.

MS. ABSENGER: Okay. Yeah.

MR. ZACHARY: I mean, I'm not throwing it out. You know, I just I just want to be able to see it, get a better look. Well, okay. I mean, that still look still looks like a metal building. And I think we're trying to avoid that.

MR. CALABRO: That was the color.

2.3

MR. ZACHARY: We're trying to avoid a metal building that looks like a metal building and have a metal building that's, you know, dressed up sort of like a lion in sheep's clothing, you know. You know, cladding, siding. So, I'm going to let other people start making, you know, weigh in, but, but I think that I'd like to try and steer this to something that does not look like a metal prefab building.

MR. CALABRO: Yeah. Well, the picture is just for color reference --

MR. ZACHARY: Okay.

MR. CALABRO: So maybe you could see a [unintelligible] [00:59:19].

MR. ZACHARY: Okay.

MR. CALABRO: We're going to have buildings that have stone and trim.

MR. MANGRA: So, if we were going to wrap the building in, let's say, a board and batten or a lap siding or something, a shiplap, would it be enough if we only did the area between the stone and the trim, or would it have to be the entire building?

1	October 23, 2025
2	MR. ZACHARY: Well, there's not you
3	mean just leave that, that the triangular area?
4	MR. MANGRA: Just leave the gable and
5	then this kind of area, you know, whatever the
6	metal building is.
7	MR. ZACHARY: That might, that might be
8	okay. But, you know, because that would bring it
9	
10	MR. MANGRA: Just break it up a little
11	bit.
12	MR. ZACHARY: Yeah. I mean, that might
13	work.
14	MR. MANGRA: Okay. I mean, this was the
15	other option, which is basically just stucco.
16	It's stone and then stucco and then the metal
17	building just to show you what it looks like with
18	something else.
19	MR. ZACHARY: Is that stucco a hand
20	applied stucco or is that like a sheet of
21	material that is stucco material that you can
22	that you can
23	MR. MANGRA: Yeah, there's a few
24	options. Yeah. And we'd have to discuss with the

1	October 23, 2025
2	manufacturer on how this would actually be
3	applied to their building.
4	MR. ZACHARY: So, I think I think I will
5	let, I'd like to let other board members, go
6	through the other board members and get their
7	comments and questions, if you don't mind. And
8	does anybody want to start with any questions or
9	comments? Follow up on what we just discussed?
10	Yes, Jeff.
11	MR. FAIELLA: On the floor plan
12	MR. ZACHARY: Jeff Faiella, planning
13	board member.
14	MR. FAIELLA: where is the boiler and
15	the hot water heater in the building, because I
16	don't see it on the plans.
17	MR. CALABRO: Well, wouldn't there
18	wouldn't be a boiler.
19	MR. FAIELLA: Well, you have to have
20	heat. Would you have a heat
21	MR. CALABRO: A furnace.
22	MR. FAIELLA: A furnace?
23	MR. CALABRO: And they're normally
24	ceiling mounted or mounted to the rear bay. And

1	October 23, 2025
2	then the office is going to have a heat pump and
3	air conditioning. So it won't have a traditional
4	furnace. And the hot water heater would be
5	located in the office.
6	MR. FAIELLA: So you're going to have
7	overhead heaters for the bays?
8	MR. CALABRO: Yeah, overhead heaters for
9	the bays.
10	MR. FAIELLA: And then how would you
11	heat the bathroom and the offices?
12	MR. CALABRO: Bathroom in the office
13	would be the heat pump. That works off the HVAC
14	system, you know, air conditioning and heat pump.
15	MR. FAIELLA: Okay. Thank you. That's
16	all I have, Mr. Chair.
17	MR. ZACHARY: Okay. Anyone else?
18	MR. OUTHOUSE: A couple of things,
19	Kieran Outhouse, planning board member. Some of
20	them are just clarification for the public and
21	some are some questions that I think Dave may be
22	able to answer. One of the things I believe the
23	fire department recommended was the installation
24	of a sprinkler system. It's not required. I

2.3

believe there's one of four different categories you have to hit to have it. They don't meet any of them. So they are conforming with the code on that. It would be nice if they had a sprinkler system, but they are expensive and I think that's understood.

The other question is related to the email you sent about historical preservation. We really don't have anything in the way, in the village code, on historical preservation from what I'm aware of. You did mention the New York State Cultural Resource Information system, which I think is run by the Office of Parks and -
MR. SMITH: Recreation and Preservation,

right.

MR. OUTHOUSE: And you said that's on there. What, what does -- I'm, somewhat familiar with the website, but what does that actually mean in terms of --

MR. SMITH: So, at one point and with our prior review memo, we actually included the, the forms that were on the CRIS website. So essentially what happened is that Westchester

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

County conducted a survey in a number of different communities in Westchester County and they documented this particular property. It didn't rise to a level where it would be part of a either a state or national historic resource. But it was noted that it's a unique architectural feature, because primarily because of the oil can that is kind of the, kind of the landmark feature for that building. So it's not it's not a registered historic site, but it does have unique character. And I think that's, that's part of what the comment was. Not necessarily from a historic preservation standpoint, but simply from, as a part of the Buchanan community, that's a unique feature within the community as you drive up and down Albany Post Road.

Certainly as a planner when I, driving through when I was first driving through

Buchanan, that stood out. And so that's why I made the comment about you know the first application you were keeping that that feature and I thought that was a very commendable part of their site plan application. So, I simply wanted

2.3

to raise the issue with the applicant and with your board as to whether that feature could be retained as part of the site plan, the current site plan approval. So, I'm interested in hearing from the applicant and his representatives as to whether it can be saved or can't be saved or whether that feature could be somehow incorporated into the current design.

And I know they they've indicated they've included the Gallon Measure sign, but is there something else that could be used to kind of reflect that that character within the corridor?

MR. OUTHOUSE: I appreciate it because I know sometimes that historic -- a building being historical may just be sentimental to some people, but that building does have some significance and I know that's why a lot of residents are kind of concerned about this project.

MR. SMITH: Right.

MR. OUTHOUSE: So, I'm glad that that was stated. That is all I have for now.

1	October 23, 2025
2	MR. ZACHARY: Tracey?
3	MS. ARMISTO: I have nothing.
4	MR. ZACHARY: Nothing. Okay. So, how big
5	go ahead.
6	MS. ABSENGER: I just thought of
7	something. Rock, Kieran asking about the oil can.
8	David, is there any way that corner, where you're
9	going to put that sign, if you don't incorporate
10	it into the building, is there any way to either
11	condense it a little bit or have it so that it's
12	in that corner that the you wanted more
13	MR. SMITH: The northeast corner?
14	MS. ABSENGER: you wanted more grass.
15	MR. SMITH: You mean that that sort of
16	zone between the parking?
17	MS. ABSENGER: Yeah. I mean
18	MR. ZACHARY: How big is that, do you
19	know the size of that thing?
20	MR. CALABRO: Of the, of the oil can?
21	MR. ZACHARY: Tea kettle, oil can. What
22	is that thing?
23	MR. CALABRO: I think it's about 12 15
24	feet or diameter 15 diameter, right around there.

1	October 23, 2025
2	MR. MANGRA: But we'd have to we'd have
3	to go and look at the condition of it to see if
4	it's going to be
5	MR. CALABRO: Could be lifted.
6	MR. MANGRA: It's stucco. So moving that
7	is
8	MR. CALABRO: The original plan was to
9	keep it, but how
10	MR. MANGRA: Yeah. But if you're
11	proposing moving it to the corner?
12	MS. ABSENGER: Well, if you're going to
13	try to keep it for posterity
14	MR. MANGRA: I think that makes if
15	we're going to do anything with it, that would
16	make the most sense, to move it and have it be
17	sort of a landmark on the property at the corner.
18	I don't see how incorporating it into the new
19	building can work.
20	MS. ABSENGER: I don't see how that can
21	happen.
22	MR. MANGRA: Or makes sense. But I think
23	it's going to be a very delicate situation
24	because it's quite old. It's stucco, so you know,

1	October 23, 2025
2	it would probably
3	MR. ZACHARY: Hard to me.
4	MR. MANGRA: probably require a lot
5	of reinforcing, a crane, a lot of cost. So
6	MR. ZACHARY: Tricky, tricky.
7	MR. MANGRA: a pad for it to sit on,
8	you know.
9	MR. ZACHARY: Yeah. Yeah. I was kind of
10	hoping we could move it to the village hall lawn
11	and make it the new office for the mayor.
12	MR. CALABRO: If they want it on the
13	lawn, you can have it, if you want to move it.
14	MS. ABSENGER: Would it be possible to
15	do if you're going to put a sign in that
16	corner or someplace over there, could we do a
17	replica of the oil can so that it's kind of
18	mimics it, but it's more sturdy, it doesn't cost
19	a lot of money.
20	MR. MANGRA: You want to build a half
21	scale model of it?
22	MS. ABSENGER: Well, something that, you
23	know.
24	MR. SMITH: That's not an unreasonable

1 October 23, 2025 2 request to at least ask the applicant to think about if you're going to have signage and you're 3 going to remove the existing portion of that, 4 5 that oil can that's not an unreasonable request to at least ask the applicant to look at that as 6 7 a as an alternative. 8 MS. ABSENGER: Rocco, look at that 9 please. 10 MR. ZACHARY: Bernie, there's Bernie. 11 MS. ABSENGER: No, Rocco. 12 MR. MANGRA: Marco. Marco. 13 MS. ABSENGER: Oh, Marco. Okay. 14 MR. MANGRA: I'm like who are you 15 talking to? 16 MR. OUTHOUSE: And just to clarify, I 17 know some people, some couple residents said 18 something about the master plan. So, the way I 19 read it was when the survey was sent out, the 20 eight priorities, there was a list of priorities 21 that the village residents identified back in 22 2005 or whatever it was. I was quite young. And 2.3 it said on it that -- I still am. And it said on

it that historical like preservation was like the

1	October 23, 2025
2	eighth priority. So, not necessarily the highest,
3	but it actually mentioned the Gallon Measure
4	specifically in 2005. And then on the list of
5	goals, it said historic preservation.
6	Unfortunately, the village board, I don't think
7	any board has enacted any kind of historical
8	preservation laws or anything. But just keep that
9	in the back of your mind. I think that's why
10	there's some questions. It does mean a lot to the
11	residents here. And I understand it may not be
12	the most feasible thing, but
13	MR. CALABRO: We tried to keep in the
14	original plan, but that plan fell apart.
15	MR. ZACHARY: Where does that sit in
16	that in that plan there? Where would you estimate
17	the location to be? Right there.
18	MR. MANGRA: Yeah. Right in
19	MR. ZACHARY: Oh, that's handy.
20	MR. MANGRA: Yeah.
21	MR. ZACHARY: Yeah. Right. Right.
22	Right.
23	MR. MANGRA: We thought about it every
24	other way to try to move the parking back.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. ZACHARY: Okay. Let's, let me, let me -- well, I think aesthetically, the intersection corner but that might block the view of sight for turning traffic. Yes. So, probably that that north corner where there's a dead space between the parking.

MR. MANGRA: Can we leave that as like a to be determined kind of thing?

MR. ZACHARY: Well, let's, let's do one. Let's, you know, maybe you guys could in, in good faith, you know, look at it and see is there any, you know, is it sound enough to lift or is it going to completely fall apart? If you could just kind of look at it a little further and give us your honest assessment on that. And then I'm just going to ask because we don't usually get too many people here. Are you, are the people in the audience, if could you raise your hand if this is what brought you here tonight? Oh wow, everybody. Okay. So, and you know, clearly you got some concern from the board and, and it's an issue that the public is concerned about. So, let's, let's examine it, see if it can withstand moving

1 October 23, 2025 in any way. If it could, I don't know if there's 2 any other alternate site, but maybe that spot 3 4 there. And then if you do move it, what happens? 5 I'd like to see an espresso station, but okay. So, you know, if that would be between now and 6 7 the next meeting if we could examine that. MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yes. 8 9 MR. ZACHARY: And tell and give, get a 10 report to us. Also get us some samples or photos 11 of these materials that, send us that website and 12 tell us if you, if anybody comes across something 13 where we could actually see an example of this 14 that you know the board members could do a little 15 site visit to, that would be great. Okay, and any 16 on the board Kieran did you have another 17 question? 18 MR. OUTHOUSE: No. 19 MR. ZACHARY: You covered it. 20 MR. OUTHOUSE: Thank you.

21

22

2.3

24

MR. ZACHARY: Anybody else on the board?

MS. ABSENGER: I'm still thinking.

MR. ZACHARY: Okay. Now I think the goal is to get us to, you know, to have a public

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

hearing. And before I, you know, go further though, just to outline if based on what we've discussed so far, are there any amendments to the site plan that we want to see? We talked about possibly, possible changes to the parking spaces. Do we want to try and go ahead and allow that five spaces inside to be five of your 14 spots, in which case we could we could eliminate the two spots in the front that there was a concern for the fire department and having access all around the building? Possibly and then coming in, I think I would like to see the that elimination of one more spot in the northeast corner there, like we talked about and bringing those spots forward and I would like to see it with a wider buffer there just for aesthetic purposes since we're going to allow, you know, the parking in the front which is what we're, what our overlay zone is seeking to avoid. But I think, I think it would probably -- it's, there's some balance because in the back all that parking is more of a disturbance to me to the residences immediately behind and nearby. So I think I would like to see

1	October 23, 2025
2	that. Does anybody else on the board a share that
3	opinion or? Yeah? Okay. I got two heads nodding.
4	Let the record reflect that two planning board
5	members shook their heads in agreement to my
6	right and on my left?
7	MR. FAIELLA: I have a question, Mr.
8	Chairman. Jeff Faiella, planning board. Do you
9	know what the material decibel for sound? Because
10	you're, you're moving the shop closer to the
11	residence. Is the steel building going to be able
12	to
13	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Well, it's going to
14	be insulated.
15	MR. FAIELLA: lessen the sound? So,
16	can you ask the manufacturer what the decibel
17	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Sure, we can ask
18	them.
19	MR. FAIELLA: sounding is for that
20	material because, because I don't really like it
21	that the shop moved closer to the houses.
22	MR. ZACHARY: Oh, you don't? Okay. You
23	prefer to see the parking on the other side?
24	MR. FAIELLA: I prefer it to be maybe

	Dago 1
1	October 23, 2025
2	moved to the center and parking behind or
3	something. I don't know.
4	MR. ZACHARY: Okay.
5	MR. FAIELLA: But unfortunately, there's
6	not enough room in the center.
7	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah, I was going to
8	say
9	MR. FAIELLA: It doesn't fit.
10	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: we took, we
11	looked at everything and the sheer geometry of
12	the, of the lot doesn't allow for us to move it
13	up. You know, that's really the size that they
14	need to get five bays in there. So it's just, it
15	doesn't work any other way.
16	MR. FAIELLA: So you would have to
17	provide to me that you, that building would
18	actually decrease any sound coming out of it
19	because I know when the summertime is the doors
20	may be up, or hopefully you have new AC in there,
21	it'd be down, that the neighbors don't hear the
22	guns going off.
23	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Well, let me make
24	this point though. Before when the building was

October 23, 2025
where it was, we were going to have bays that
were facing the residents.
MR. FAIELLA: I understand.
MR. ANNICCHIARICO: So if those doors
were going to be open, they were going to hear
everything that was going on inside there. Now
there's nothing in the back that you know would
be open doors.
MR. FAIELLA: But the manufacturer may
be able to give you the information I'm looking
for.
MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah, we'll do it.
MR. ZACHARY: Let's see if we can get
that.
MR. MANGRA: Whatever they give us for
the building, it's going to be increased because
we're going to also fur out the walls and
insulate.
MS. ARMISTO: Would that be spray foam
insulation?
MR. MANGRA: I think we talked about it
with the building department about what levels of
insulation it's going to require.

	Dago 8
1	October 23, 2025
2	MS. ARMISTO: Because
3	MR. MANGRA: It's actually more than the
4	manufacturer provides, so.
5	MS. ARMISTO: And then can't you put
6	that sound deadening stuff in the walls?
7	MR. MANGRA: I think once you get that
8	much spray foam, it's it's like sound
9	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: We're going to meet
10	the energy code requirements.
11	MR. SERRANO: Peter, what did you say?
12	MR. COOK: I was saying the foam
13	insulation is a sound absorption item in its own
14	right and it's a steel building, there's no
15	walls, so to say. Usually you're foaming right in
16	between all the frame, the building members, to
17	insulate the building you because it's foam, if
18	that's what you're intending to use.
19	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah, the spray
20	should be attached to the metal.
21	MR. COOK: Yeah. It's spray, you fill
22	the bays. You know, and so that absorbs a lot of
23	sound.
24	MR. ZACHARY: Yeah. And I think Jim's

2.3

October 23, 2025

point is a good one. The open doors is where you're going to, where more sound is going to travel than any insulated wall. So the doors being on the other side is a big factor I think.

MR. COOK: Peter Cook, Village of
Buchanan building inspector. I had a quick
question about the heat. Would you be introducing
a waste oil heater at the ceiling to try to
minimize storage and things? I'm just asking
because I've done a few of those.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: No, natural gas.

MR. COOK: You have natural gas, you're going to use, okay. Just asking.

MS. ABSENGER: On the metal frame, what's the time frame for construction?

MR. MANGRA: Time frame for -- well, I have to you know, one of the issues that we're running into is I have to order all the materials. It's going to take them three months from deposit on contract to delivery of materials from, you know, say if I order it tomorrow. So, it's going to be three month wait until I get the materials and then usually the building goes up

1	October 23, 2025
2	fairly rapidly. Couple weeks.
3	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Within two weeks I
4	would say the building will be up. Not finished
5	obviously but, the steel membrane.
6	MR. MANGRA: Once the once the
7	foundation is poured.
8	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yes.
9	MR. MANGRA: So once the building's
10	engineered, they'll give us their load calcs.
11	We'll calc based on the point loads. We'll calc
12	the foundation requirements. The foundation can
13	then get installed while the building's being
14	fabricated. And then once it's shipped, it goes
15	up within a few days.
16	MS. ABSENGER: And is that also I
17	presume a metal roof?
18	MR. MANGRA: Yeah, a metal roof.
19	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Everything's metal.
20	It'll have shingles, right? We're going to do
21	shingles or
22	MR. MANGRA: No, I think a full like
23	[unintelligible] [01:17:49] like a metal roof
24	style.

1	October 23, 2025
2	MR. ZACHARY: Question. What's the
3	height of the roof on this on this, 34 feet?
4	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: No, I think it's
5	less than.
6	MR. ZACHARY: 34 feet to the ridge? And
7	previously there was a second, the last plan had
8	a second floor. What was the height on that?
9	MR. MANGRA: Roughly the same.
10	MR. ZACHARY: How is that? How is a one-
11	story building the same height as a two-story
12	building?
13	MR. MANGRA: I think
14	MR. ZACHARY: A steeper, a steeper
15	grade, a steeper pitch?
16	MR. SERRANO: Can you kind of mark it so
17	the public can see it?
18	MR. MANGRA: So to here it's 34, 34 foot
19	3, and then to the eave it's 23. And then to the
20	middle, to the middle is 29. So and the height
21	requirement is 35.
22	MR. ZACHARY: Right. Well actually I
23	think in the overlay zone the height was the
24	height allowance is higher, yeah. Okay. So the

1

October 23, 2025

2

previous one was similar in height but uh the eaves were higher, so there was less pitch to it.

4

3

Is that what we're saying?

5

MR. MANGRA Yeah. And the building was

6

narrower so the gables --

7

MR. ZACHARY: Got you, travels further,

8

got you. Okay. I'm going to -- all right. So

9

plan to increase that front buffer and adjust the

10 11

parking spots. Anything else from what we

12

discussed so far that we feel we'd like to see

we're going to, we're going to amend the site

13

amended on the plan? Okay. We're going to look at

14

the lighting, assess that if we need any

15 16

parking lot or something. I'm not sure if we do,

lighting, other lighting along the front of the

17

but take a look at what's there and make sure

18

that there's ample lighting if it's after dark

19

and people are out there, they don't need a

20

flashlight. So, what does the -- I'm going to

21

ask, I'm kind of asking everybody now. Are we

22

ready to set up a public hearing or do we need to

2.3 24 get the rest of this info and meet again before we do that? Any anyone want to weigh in there?

2.3

2 I'd like some other --

MR. SMITH: I think the applicant has heard some significant comments from the board and from staff and certainly if they make a commitment to try and address those issues, I think it would be appropriate for the planning board to schedule a public hearing and that way the information becomes available as soon as possible. It'll also allow all the people who are interested in this project to participate as part of the public hearing process.

MR. ZACHARY: Okay. And then if we -so, if there is anything that has to get amended
or other information we need, the public hearing
can go to a second month?

MR. SMITH: Right.

MR. ZACHARY: We don't have to, you know. So either way, we can we can get the info we need and so between now and the next month we're looking for you to check the sound rating of the building, try to steer us towards a better visual of what the materials are and make sure that we're following those guidelines in the, in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

the overlay design guidelines. We're going to do a check of the of that teapot, oil can -- once for all was it meant to be an oil can or is it -yeah? It's an oil can. Wow. All these years I've been calling it a tea kettle. All right. So, you know, just to really, you know, in good faith, let's look at that and see can it withstand moving? Is there a way to do it? Because I think that's going to be a contentious issue. Was there anything else between -- I think we that covers it. Okay. So, I'm going to the public I'm very happy you all came. I'm, I don't want to spend another hour because if we open a public hearing, your comments will be on the record and I'd like you all to come back. But other than the fact that you all are, would be upset to see the oil can, are there any other comments from the public because I understand that that's a big issue, but are there any other comments related to this that

MR. MANGRA: Can I ask --

MR. ZACHARY: One second sir. Yeah, go ahead.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

MR. MANGRA: That's fine. Just before you know we open up for public conversation, is there any way that since it looks like the building is just at this point all the questions are mostly aesthetical, is there any way that I can start moving forward with this project so I can get the building ordered?

MR. ZACHARY: Risky. I can't say that because you know we haven't finished the process and if we, you know, you mean to order the building? Is that what you mean?

MR. MANGRA: Yes we -- because ordering the building starts the engineering process so they can start getting the foundation ready --

MR. ZACHARY: I understand but until we give you, until the site plan's approved, you'd be doing that at your own risk. I don't think you want to do that.

MR. MANGRA: Just because, you know, there's --

MR. ZACHARY: I know, I understand.

MR. MANGRA: -- a lot of

[unintelligible] [01:23:39] right now.

2.3

MR. ZACHARY: I understand, but it would be, you know, if, you go ahead and order something and then we amend the site plan or the, you know, it turns out we, you know, that the predominant view is such that something has to change, you're kind of screwed. I would think --

MR. MANGRA: But we're just talking aesthetics at that point right, it's all aesthetic stuff.

MR. ZACHARY: Most likely, but I can't tell you for sure. I can't, until the site plan's approved, I would be, it would be negligent on my part to say, sure, go ahead, no problem, get it going. I don't think it would be a good idea. If you do so, it's at your own risk because we haven't finished the process and something could change --

MR. OUTHOUSE: Especially if we hold this public hearing and we get significant public input that goes against just the aesthetical stuff. We have to take that into account. We have an obligation to listen to the public on this.

So, it's -- I see what you're saying, but it's --

2.3

MR. COLOBRO: When we conform the zoning, there's zoning will allow the building to go up. It's that's, you know, the building can be ordered. It's just I kind of need the, the head nod from the planning, you know, the people up here to --

MR. ZACHARY: I can't give you a head nod until we complete the process and get input and have everybody vote. If, you know, like even if I said 90 percent, you're taking a chance and I don't think you want to do that. Sorry.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: You're terrible, you especially.

MR. ZACHARY: All right. Please let's chill on that. Let's chill on that. Okay. Direct your comments up here. Don't, please let's not have --

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [unintelligible]
[01:25:26]

MR. ZACHARY: Yeah. No. I, you may disagree, but let's not get into personal discussions back there, please. So, yes, sir. Please state your name.

1	October 23, 2025
2	MR. HENRY GALLAGHER: My name is Henry
3	Gallagher. First, I never heard of anybody
4	demoing the Gallon Measure. Number one, nobody,
5	nobody said it was going to be demoed until
6	tonight. Okay. Now
7	MR. ZACHARY: You mean the, the that
8	tea, the oil can?
9	MR. GALLAGHER: [unintelligible]
10	[01:25:53].
11	MR. ZACHARY: Oh, yeah, yeah. Yeah. Oh,
12	okay. Yeah. Yeah.
13	MR. GALLAGHER: I have some questions.
14	Do what did anybody do any soil testing, any air
15	testing or anything what was done on the
16	demolition already? And if so, I'd like to see
17	those results.
18	MR. ZACHARY: Uh, I would
19	MR. GALLAGHER: Was anything done for
20	any testing of that property?
21	MR. ZACHARY: I am not aware of any is
22	unless the building inspector, was there any, was
23	there any testing involved because I think it was
24	taken down without us being informed. So I'm

MR. GALLAHER: First of all, the roof never was attempted to become off. I was there. They demoed it right from the middle of the building. So that's number one. It was a false statement that they were trying to take the roof off. That was false. I was right there. I got pictures of it. So I'm asking the building inspector, did you do any testing?

MR. COOK: Did I do any testing, Mr. Gallagher? No, I did not.

MR. GALLAGHER: Why wouldn't you take a test on a building that's 1946? You know, there could be the word A. I don't want to say the word, but there could be A involved with that building. There could be oil in the soil that you took out of there. Where did that soil go to?

Where did the soil go to?

MR. SMITH: This is more than a comment. This is comments.

MR. GALLAGHER: Okay.

MR. SMITH: It's not questions.

MR. GALLAGHER: It's legal.

MR. SMITH: Well, it's not

1	October 23, 2025
2	MR. GALLAGHER: Not my sister's health.
3	My sister's health is involved.
4	MR. SMITH: Excuse me, excuse me, Mr.
5	Gallagher.
6	MR. GALLAGHER: Okay.
7	MR. SMITH: Excuse me. Mr. Chairman,
8	you're providing a courtesy to the public to
9	provide comments, right, so that you can
10	understand some of their preliminary
11	MR. ZACHARY: Correct.
12	MR. SMITH: comments?
13	MR. ZACHARY: Correct.
14	MR. SMITH: So this is, this is a
15	courtesy, right. My suggestion is if there's
16	going to be a combative tenor here, that anybody
17	who's interested in this project, please submit
18	all your comments in writing. Because your
19	comment, you're asking specific comments.
20	MR. GALLAGHER: I'm asking here.
21	MR. SMITH: That's great. If you could
22	submit those comments in writing, that gives
23	everybody, including the representatives from the
24	village and the applicant, an opportunity to

1	October 23, 2025
2	understand what your concerns are and your
3	comments are and to have a, an opportunity to
4	provide a response.
5	MR. GALLAGHER: I would
6	MR. SMITH: I understand that you
7	MR. GALLAGHER: I would have loved to
8	had an off, off speak on this, because I'm
9	concerned about my sister's health.
10	MR. SMITH: Yeah.
11	MR. GALLAGHER: Okay. And they were told
12	to put a barrier up and it was never done.
13	MR. SMITH: Okay.
14	MR. GALLAGHER: Okay. Number one, my
15	sister's on oxygen and I don't want to see her
16	dying in this, over this project and it's going
17	to happen. You understand what I'm saying? It's a
18	health problem.
19	MR. SMITH: Yes.
20	MR. GALLAGHER: And one of the, and the
21	owner came on to my sister's property and I
22	showed it to him. My sister washed the table off
23	nine times during the day and I showed it to him.
24	I put my hand like this and my hand came out

1	October 23, 2025
2	black. So this is what my sister's breathing.
3	MR. SMITH: Understood. I don't like
4	that. Okay.
5	MR. SMITHER: Okay.
6	MR. GALLAGHER: We got a health issue.
7	And you're going up to 40 foot height in this
8	building. My sister's windows are only 10 feet
9	off the ground. What is she going to look at? The
10	side of a building?
11	MR. ZACHARY: Well, there they'll be
12	there'll be there'll be landscaping, you know,
13	that, that
14	MR. GALLAGHER: You're moving the
15	building back towards her house is what you're
16	doing.
17	MR. ZACHARY: Well, there was going to
18	be landscaping when it was a parking lot.
19	MR. GALLAGHER: [unintelligible]
20	[01:29:13] windows.
21	MR. ZACHARY: Yeah. Yeah. Okay.
22	MR. GALLAGHER: I just, as a comment.
23	MR. ZACHARY: We will look at the, to
24	make sure that there's sufficient buffering

1	October 23, 2025
2	there.
3	MR. GALLAGHER: [unintelligible]
4	[01:29:22].
5	MR. ZACHARY: Having buffering there to
6	the, to the residents is part of the plan. So we
7	will look at that.
8	MR. GALLAGHER: Thank you very much for
9	your help.
10	MR. ZACHARY: All right. Thank you for
11	your comments.
12	MR. GALLAGHER: And I wish everybody was
13	fined when you have a stop order, stop order
14	means you do not work on the property. A pipe was
15	just put in that property the other day. I don't
16	know if the building inspector seen it, but he
17	drove by. He must have seen the pipe going in.
18	MR. ZACHARY: Mm-hmm.
19	MR. GALLAGHER: It was a stop order. Why
20	is that pipe being put in? Nobody's going to
21	answer?
22	MR. GALLAGHER: I don't know.
23	MR. SMITHER: Mr. Gallagher, we need an
24	opportunity to review your comments and then

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

2 there'll be a response.

MR. GALLAGHER: Thank you very much. I appreciate that.

MR. ZACHARY: Okay. Thank you. So again, there's anger, there's opinions, but we're going to go through this in the public hearing. I think we're going to set this public hearing up for next month. And you're all welcome to come back and share your comments. I'm going to -- I don't want to have arguing between people in the audience. I want all comments to be directed up here. And we will look at the site plan and, and you know what we had originally doesn't exist anymore. That building was taken down. The building inspector has been dealing with the applicant as far as any penalties that were as a result of this. That's being resolved administratively.

As a planning board now, our responsibility is to look at the new site plan. It's as though it's day one. You know, it's coming to us, you know, it's a whole new deal. The, any mistakes made in the demoing without a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

October 23, 2025

permit, that's an administrative issue that we cannot address here in the planning board. So, I do want to hear your concerns, but, you know, our jurisdiction is to look at the site plan and other there may be other jurisdictions with enforcement that that are outside of our, you know. But we're going to do our best to look at the site plan and balance it. It's got to it's you know, there's got to be a balance because, you know, certain -- shifting the building might negatively impact somebody else. But, you know there's, there's pros and cons to all the different site plans. And we'll try to look at this and weigh it and, and hopefully come up with something good. So thank you for coming. I'm going to ask you all, share your -- if you have specific questions like Mr. Gallagher just had, you're welcome to submit them ahead of time so we can look into them. Otherwise you're welcome to come and share your opinions at the public hearing.

MR. SMITH: Mr. Chairman?

MR. ZACHARY: Yes sir?

	Da 0
1	Page 9 October 23, 2025
2	MR. SMITH: If I could just, if anybody
3	has any public comments, my suggestion would be
4	is to direct them to Marcus Serrano who's the
5	village administrator. I believe your email
6	address is on the village website.
7	MR. SERRANO: Yes.
8	MR. ZACHARY: Oh, yes.
9	MR. SMITHER: And then he will
10	distribute them to you and to the staff and
11	MR. ZACHARY: Yes. Okay. So for the
12	people here and for the people at home, if you
13	have any comments or questions, you can submit
14	them to the village administrator's email on the
15	website. You'll find the email for Marcus
16	Serrano, our village administrator.
17	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: And I was going to
18	say that I think that's a fantastic idea. It
19	allows me as, you know, the one who's submitting
20	all the information to your board and, and to,
21	and for the public's knowledge.
22	MR. ZACHARY: Yes.

respond for the next meeting.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: It allows me to

23

	Dec. 1/1/
1	Page 100 October 23, 2025
2	MR. ZACHARY: Yeah.
3	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: So it's not a waste
4	of everybody's time.
5	MR. ZACHARY: So you're not blindsided,
6	of course.
7	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: So, you know, if
8	anybody has a comment and they can email it
9	MR. ZACHARY: Some of the questions
10	might require doing a little homework on
11	somebody's part.
12	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Absolutely.
13	MR. ZACHARY: So having them beforehand
14	is a good idea.
15	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah.
16	MR. ZACHARY: Thank you. Thank you. And
17	thank you to the audience.
18	MS. ABSENGER: I have something else to
19	add. I don't want to stop thinking. When you have
20	the filters, are they HEPA filters so that when
21	the fumes come up from the garage, they've got to
22	go someplace, I presume.
23	MR. MANGRA: They go out the front.
24	MS. ABSENGER: Do they go out the front?

1	October 23, 2025
2	Do they go out the side? Do they go up? Do they
3	go back? Where do they go? Any of the fumes that
4	are coming out.
5	MR. SERRANO: So the question is, if you
6	have if you're working on a car and your car is
7	on, don't you have to have like a hose going out
8	to the for the exhaust?
9	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah.
10	MR. SERRANO: Explain that.
11	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: So the people inside
12	the building don't, you know, die from poisoning.
13	MS. ABSENGER: Yeah, I don't want the
14	people inside the building, but I want to know
15	where it goes.
16	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Right. You would
17	have a hose that, you know, typically a lot of
18	these places have hoses that go through the
19	garage doors and you know it goes out, you know.
20	MS. ABSENGER: So
21	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: In this case, it
22	would be better to go out the front because it's
23	away from the residential.
24	MS. ABSENGER: Okay, I just want to know

1	October 23, 2025
2	where the fumes are going to go, you know.
3	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I mean Bernie could
4	have I mean I'm not the mechanical engineer,
5	you know, for the project. But that that would
6	typically be what it is.
7	MR. CALABRO: And I think also any
8	venting, I'm talking about general venting in the
9	garage usually goes through the roof.
10	MS. ABSENGER: Goes through the roof.
11	Okay. All right. Okay. Just want to make sure.
12	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: You know, as any,
13	any furnace would in a house, you know, it would
14	go up through the through the flue and through
15	the through the roof. Same.
16	MR. ZACHARY: Okay. So, our next meeting
17	is on, November 24th, and I'm going to, ask for a
18	motion.
19	MR. OUTHOUSE: It's a Monday.
20	MS. ARMISTO: It's a Monday.
21	MR. ZACHARY: It is a Monday. Oh, thank
22	you. Yes. Yes. The regular meeting would have
23	landed on Thanksgiving. So, we have changed the
24	meeting next month to Monday, the 24th, the

1	October 23, 2025
2	Monday before Thanksgiving, right, at 7:00 p.m.
3	and that'll, it'll be on the village calendar.
4	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Can I just ask is
5	the deadline early same?
6	MR. ZACHARY: Sorry, Jim?
7	MR. SMITH: It's November 10th.
8	MR. SERRANO: [unintelligible]
9	[01:35:08] have to digest.
10	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I agree.
11	MR. ZACHARY: I'm sorry. What was that
12	Jim?
13	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I was just going to
14	say is the deadline pushed further back because
15	of the meeting moving or is it the same deadline
16	as it normally would be, November 10th? So
17	MR. ZACHARY: The submission deadline is
18	two weeks, before November 10th.
19	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Okay.
20	MR. SERRANO: That way you have your
21	comments, our consultant will have comments and
22	get back to you before the meeting. So it'll
23	speed up the process.
24	MR. ZACHARY: All right. So board

1	October 23, 2025
2	member, please make a motion to set up a public
3	hearing for Monday, November 24th at 7:00 p.m. on
4	the Gallon Measure application, calendar PB4-
5	2025.
6	MR. OUTHOUSE: I make a motion.
7	MS. ARMISTO: I'll second.
8	MR. ZACHARY: All in favor?
9	MULTIPLE: Aye. Okay, here we go. Thank
10	you.
11	MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Thank you. We
12	appreciate your time.
13	MR. ZACHARY: Thank you, everybody.
14	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So usually a garage
15	has a ventilation fan somewhere that brings the
16	air.
17	[CROSSTALK]
18	MR. ZACHARY: Bernie, did you want these
19	papers that you passed?
20	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Well, that would be
21	something to ask about the airflow coming into
22	the building?
23	MR. SERRANO: Chairman, do you want to
24	keep this on the record? All the [unintelligible]

1	October 23, 2025
2	[01:36:28]?.
3	MS. ARMISTO: Yeah. Did you adjourn the
4	meeting?
5	MR. ZACHARY: Oh, no. We didn't. I felt
6	so, I felt so done, I thought that was it.
7	MR. SERRANO: We're still on the record.
8	MR. ZACHARY: All right. For the record,
9	a motion to adjourn the planning board meeting.
10	MS. ARMISTO: Motion to adjourn.
11	MR. OUTHOUSE: Second.
12	MR. ZACHARY: All in favor?
13	MULTIPLE: Aye.
14	MR. ZACHARY: Planning board meeting is
15	over.
16	(The board meeting concluded at 8:40
17	p.m.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Claudia Marques, certify that the foregoing transcript of the Planning Board meeting of the Village of Buchanan on October 23, 2025 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Claudia Marques

Date: November 21, 2025

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669

New York, NY 10003